

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,332	03/01/2002	Vladimir Nikitin	SJO92000065US1	3077
45216 7590 02/22/2007 KUNZLER & ASSOCIATES			EXAMINER	
8 EAST BROA			DAVIS, DAVID DONALD	
SUITE 600 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
	·			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
2 MONITUS		02/22/2007	DADCD	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/087,332	NIKITIN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David D. Davis	2627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 N 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the second	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	•	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-5, 8, 14, 16 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takeura (US 5,805,390). Takeura shows in figures 5A-5C, for example, a magnetic head including an electrical pad 30, 31, 32 or 33 and a substrate 4 on which the magnetic head is formed. Takeura shows in figures 5A-5C an insulating alumina undercoat 16 interposed between pad 30, 31, 32 or 33 and substrate 4. Figures 5A-5C also shows material 18 selected to have a low dielectric constant interposed between pad 30,31,32 or 33 and insulating alumina undercoat 16.

Material 18, alumina, of Takeura is a low dielectric material configured to decrease the parasitic capacitance of the magnetic head. Figures 5A-5C show a stud 19 formed through the low dielectric material 18. Takeura discloses in the paragraph bridging columns 9 and 10 that the stud includes a conductive material of copper (Cu) and that the material has a thickness in a range between about 1 μ m and about 100 μ m. Low dielectric material 18 provides a platform for the electrical pad 30, 31, 32 or 33.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2627

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeura (US 5,805,390) in view of Huai et al (US 5,966,800). Takeura discloses the claimed invention see the description, supra.

Takeura, however, is silent as to the low dielectric material being either hard bake photo resist or SiO₂.

. Huai discloses in column 8, lines 19-26 that the low dielectric material 60 & 66 can be substitute with a hard bake photo resist. Column 5, lines 53-57 Huai et al discloses that the low dielectric material includes SiO₂.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the low dielectric material, such as alumina, of Takeura with either SiO₂ or hard bake photo resist as taught by Huai. The rationale is as follows: one of

Art Unit: 2627

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to substitute a low dielectric material, such as alumina, with either SiO₂ or hard bake photo resist, which is well within the purview of a skilled artisan and absent an unobvious result, because the materials are art recognized equivalents.

6. Claims 9-13, 15 and 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeura (US 5,805,390). Takeura discloses the claimed invention see the description, supra.

Takeura, however, is silent as to the low dielectric material having a thickness in a range of between 10 μm and about 50 μm; or having a thickness of about 20 μm; or having a dielectric constant of less than about 9 or about 3. Takeura is additionally silent as to the magnetic head carrier a spin-valve or GMR sensor. Takeura is further silent as to an electrical contact pad having a surface area of less than about 20 μm.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to specify the thickness range or dielectric constant of the low dielectric material of Takeura. The rationale is as follows: one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to specify a thickness range or a dielectric constant, which is well within the purview of a skilled artisan and absent an unobvious result, so as to effectively optimize the insulative properties of the dielectric material.

It additionally would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the inductive head of Takeura with a spin valve or GMR sensor as taught in the art. The rationale is as follows: one of ordinary skill in the art at the time

Art Unit: 2627

the invention was made would have been motivated to provide an inductive head with a GMR sensor or spin valve sensor, which is well within the purview of a skilled artisan and absent an unobvious result, so as to be able to read high density from an magnetic medium.

It further would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the electrical contact pad of Takeura with a surface are of less than 20 µm. The rationale is as follows: one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to provide a contact pad with a specific surface area to effectively optimize the electrical properties of the contact pad and decrease any unwanted interference

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David D. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-7572. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday between 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dwayne D. Bost can be reached on 571-272-7023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,332

Art Unit: 2627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9/197 (tol)/-free).

David D. Davis Primary Examiner Art Unit 2627 Page 6

ddd